arXiv:1208.1878v1 [cs.IT] 9 Aug 2012

New Classes of Zero-Difference Balanced Functions

Qi Wang, *Member, IEEE* and Yue Zhou

Abstract

Zero-difference balanced (ZDB) functions are very useful in constructing optimal constant composition codes, optimal and perfect difference systems of sets, optimal frequency hopping sequences, etc. In this paper, a generic construction of ZDB functions is proposed, and many new classes of ZDB functions with flexible parameters could be generated. Furthermore, a lower bound on the parameters of ZDB functions is derived. This bound is used to achieve optimality in applications and determine the sizes of preimage sets of ZDB functions.

Index Terms

Constant composition codes, difference systems of sets, frequency-hopping sequences, partitioned difference families, zero-difference balanced functions

I. INTRODUCTION

Let $(A,+)$ and $(B,+)$ be two abelian groups of orders n and ℓ, respectively. A function f from A onto B is called an (n,ℓ,λ)-*zero-difference balanced* (ZDB) function if

$$|\{x \in A : f(x+a) - f(x) = 0\}| = \lambda$$

for every nonzero $a \in A$, where λ is a nonnegative integer.

Zero-difference balanced (ZDB) functions were first introduced by Ding [1] to construct optimal and perfect difference systems of sets, and were later used to construct optimal constant composition codes [2]. Prior to the proposal of ZDB functions, Ding and Yin [3] constructed constant composition codes using partitioned difference families.

Let $(A,+)$ be an abelian group of order n. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a collection of ℓ subsets (*blocks*) $\mathcal{B}_0, \mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{\ell-1}$ of A. The collection $\mathcal{P}$ is said to be an (n,K,λ)-*difference family* (DF) in A, where $K = \{|\mathcal{B}_i| : 0 \le i < \ell\}$, if for $0 \le i < \ell$, the list of differences $b - b'$, with $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}_i$ and $b \ne b'$, covers all nonzero elements in A exactly λ times. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{P}$ forms a partition of A, it is called an (n,K,λ)-*partitioned difference family* (PDF). Clearly, ZDB functions and PDFs are basically equivalent.

Proposition 1.1: [4] Let $(A,+)$ and $(B,+)$ be two abelian groups of orders n and ℓ, respectively, where $B = \{b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{\ell-1}\}$. Let f be a function from A onto B. Define $\mathcal{B}_i := \{x \in A : f(x) = b_i\}$ for $0 \le i < \ell$, and $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{B}_0, \mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{\ell-1}\}$. Then f is an (n,ℓ,λ)-ZDB function if and only if $\mathcal{P}$ is an (n,K,λ)-PDF, where $K = \{|\mathcal{B}_i| : 0 \le i < \ell\}$.

ZDB functions can be employed in many applications, for example, optimal and perfect difference systems of sets [1], and optimal constant composition codes [2], [3]. For the background of difference systems of sets, we refer to [1], [5]–[7], and for more information on constant composition codes, see [2], [3], [8]. In addition, it turns out that ZDB functions (or PDFs) can also be used to construct frequency hopping sequences (for example, see [9]–[11]). For a detailed description of frequency hopping communication systems, we refer to [12]. Recently, Zhou et al. [4] constructed some new classes of ZDB functions from difference-balanced functions, and then presented such several applications. For more on ZDB functions, we refer to a recent survey [13].

In this paper, we present a generic construction of ZDB functions, and many new classes of ZDB functions can be generated by this construction. They can be used to construct optimal constant composition codes, optimal and perfect difference systems of sets, and optimal frequency hopping sequences.

The authors are with Institute of Algebra and Geometry, Faculty of Mathematics, Otto-von-Guericke University Magdeburg, 39106, Magdeburg, Germany (email: qi.wang@ovgu.de, yue.zhou@st.ovgu.de)

TABLE I
SOME KNOWN ZERO-DIFFERENCE BALANCED FUNCTIONS

$\lvert A\rvert = n$	$\lvert B\rvert = \ell$	λ	References
p^2, p odd prime	p	p	[1, Corollaries 6, 8]
$\frac{q^m-1}{e}$, q prime power $q \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$ $\gcd(m, e) = 1$	q	$\frac{q^{m-1}-1}{e}$	[1, Theorem 9] [2, Proposition 7] [11, Theorem 4.4] Theorem 3.3 $*$
$q^2 + 1$, $q = 2^s$	q	$q + 1$	[2, Proposition 10]
$q^m - 1$, q prime power	q	$q^{m-1} - 1$	[4, Theorem 2] [1, Theorem 13]
$\gcd(m, e) = 1$			
$k\frac{q^m-1}{e}$, q prime power $q \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$ $\gcd(m, e) = 1$ $1 \le k \le e$	q^v	$k\frac{q^{m-v}-1}{e}$	[4, Theorem 4] [4, Theorem 5] [4, Theorem 6] Theorem 3.10 $*$
$q - 1$, q prime power	d, $d\vert q$	$\frac{q-d}{d}$	[13, Proposition 6]
v, (v, k, λ)-difference set exists	2	$v - 2(k - \lambda)$	[13, Proposition 7]

Furthermore, we derive a lower bound on the parameters of ZDB functions, which is used to achieve optimality in applications. Throughout this paper, we restrict attention to ZDB functions with parameters $n > \ell$, since such ZDB functions are more interesting in both theory and practice. Table I summarizes the parameters of some known ZDB functions, where the mark "$*$" means that the ZDB functions are discovered in this paper.

The remainder of the present paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we derive a lower bound on the parameters of ZDB functions. Based on the bound, we give a result on the balance property of ZDB functions from $\mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$ to $\mathrm{GF}(q)$. In Section III, we present a generic construction of ZDB functions, and give three special cases of the construction. Furthermore, we propose a vectorial construction of ZDB functions with flexible parameters. We then conclude this paper in Section IV with some future work.

II. PROPERTIES OF ZERO-DIFFERENCE BALANCED FUNCTIONS

In this section, we first derive a lower bound on the parameters of ZDB functions. Based on this bound, for ZDB functions from $\mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$ to $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ with specific parameters, we then propose a result on their balance properties.

A. A lower bound of ZDB functions

Let $(A, +)$ and $(B, +)$ be two abelian groups of orders n and ℓ, respectively, where $B = \{b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{\ell-1}\}$. Suppose that f is an (n, ℓ, λ)-ZDB function from A onto B. To characterize ZDB functions, we have the following result directly from the definition.

Lemma 2.1: Define $D_i := \{x \in A : f(x) = b_i\}$ for $0 \le i \le \ell - 1$. Then

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \tau_i = n, \\ \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \tau_i^2 = n + \lambda(n-1), \end{cases}$$

where $\tau_i = |D_i|$ for $0 \le i < \ell$.

Based on the characterization of (n, ℓ, λ)-ZDB functions above, we have the lower bound on λ as follows.

Lemma 2.2: For any (n, ℓ, λ)-ZDB function f from A onto B, we have

$$\lambda \ge \left\lceil \frac{(n-\epsilon)(n+\epsilon-\ell)}{\ell(n-1)} \right\rceil, \tag{1}$$

where $n = k\ell + \epsilon$ with $0 \le \epsilon < \ell$.

Proof: By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{n-1}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \tau_i^2 - n\right).$$

Let $\mu = \min \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \tau_i^2$, then we have

$$\lambda \ge \frac{1}{n-1}(\mu - n). \tag{2}$$

Note that $\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \tau_i = n$. By integral programming, $T = \{\tau_0, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{\ell-1}\}$ attains the minimum value if and only if f is as balanced as possible. Since $n = k\ell + \epsilon$, if and only if $\tau_i = k$ for $\ell - \epsilon$ times and $\tau_i = k+1$ for the other ϵ times, we obtain the minimum value

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= (\ell - \epsilon)k^2 + \epsilon(k+1)^2 \\ &= \frac{(n+\epsilon)(n-\epsilon) + \epsilon\ell}{\ell}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

From (2) and (3), the conclusion follows. ■

Remark 1: It turns out that the bound of (1) coincides with the bound on frequency hopping sequences in [14]. Furthermore, by [2, Proposition 3] and [4, Lemma 6], if there exists an (n, ℓ, λ) ZDB function achieving the bound of (1), the corresponding applications are all optimal.

By the proof of Lemma 2.2, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3: Suppose that $n > \ell$ and f is an (n, ℓ, λ)-ZDB function from A onto B. Then

$$\lambda = \frac{(n-\epsilon)(n+\epsilon-\ell)}{\ell(n-1)}$$

if and only if, for $0 \le i \le \ell - 1$, $\tau_i = k$ for $\ell - \epsilon$ times and $\tau_i = k+1$ for the other ϵ times, where $n = k\ell + \epsilon$ with $0 \le \epsilon < \ell$.

B. Zero-difference balance and balance properties

Let q be a prime power and m be a positive integer. Now we consider (n, ℓ, λ)-ZDB functions with parameters $n = q^m - 1$ and $\lambda = q^{m-1} - 1$, which meet the lower bound of (1) without the ceiling function.

Suppose that f is a function from $\mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$ onto $\mathrm{GF}(q)$. Define $D_b := \{x \in \mathrm{GF}(q^m)^* : f(x) = b\}$ for $b \in \mathrm{GF}(q)$. The function f is called *balanced* if $|D_0| = q^{m-1} - 1$ and $|D_b| = q^{m-1}$ for any $b \in \mathrm{GF}(q)^*$. Define

$$N_b(\delta) := |\{x \in \mathrm{GF}(q^m)^* : f(\delta x) - f(x) = b\}|,$$

for any $\delta \in \mathrm{GF}(q^m) \setminus \{0, 1\}$, where $b \in \mathrm{GF}(q)$. Then f is called *difference balanced* if $N_0(\delta) = q^{m-1} - 1$ and $N_b(\delta) = q^{m-1}$ for any $b \in \mathrm{GF}(q)^*$. Define the q-ary sequence $\mathbf{a} = \{a_i\}$ of period $q^m - 1$ by $a_i := f(\theta^i)$ for $0 \le i < q^m - 1$, where θ is a generator of $\mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$. It is known that the sequence $\mathbf{a}$ has the ideal two-level autocorrelation if and only if the function f is difference balanced, if q is a prime [15]. Clearly, difference balanced functions are special classes of ZDB functions from $\mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$ to $\mathrm{GF}(q)$. Recently, Zhou et al. [4] summarized all known difference balanced functions to obtain ZDB functions (see also [1]).

The balance property of difference balanced functions from $\mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$ to $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ was discussed in [15, Lemma 1] and [16, Lemma 7]. Here we give a stronger result on their relationship.

Theorem 2.4: Suppose that f is a $(q^m - 1, q, q^{m-1} - 1)$ ZDB function from $\mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$ onto $\mathrm{GF}(q)$, i.e., $N_0(\delta) = q^{m-1} - 1$ for any $\delta \in \mathrm{GF}(q^m) \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Then f is a balanced function, i.e., $|D_0| = q^{m-1} - 1$, and $|D_b| = q^{m-1}$ for any $b \in \mathrm{GF}(q)^*$, or an affine shift of a balanced function, i.e., $|D_{b_0}| = q^{m-1} - 1$ for some $b_0 \in \mathrm{GF}(q)^*$, and $|D_b| = q^{m-1}$ for any $b \ne b_0$.

Proof: The conclusion follows from Corollary 2.3. ■

Similarly, a q-ary sequence $\mathbf{a} = \{a_i\}$ of period $q^m - 1$ is said to be *balanced* if zero appears $q^{m-1} - 1$ times and any nonzero element of $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ appears q^{m-1} times in one period. It is said to be *difference-balanced* if, for any nonzero $\tau \bmod q^m - 1$, in the differences $a_{i+\tau} - a_i$ as i runs from 0 to $q^m - 2$, the value zero occurs $q^{m-1} - 1$ times and each of the nonzero values of $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ occurs q^{m-1} times [16]. On the balance property of a q-ary difference balanced sequence, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.5: Suppose that $\mathbf{a} = \{a_i\}$ is a q-ary sequence. If $\mathbf{a}$ is difference balanced, then $\mathbf{a}$ is balanced, or an affine shift of a balanced q-ary sequence.

III. A GENERIC CONSTRUCTION OF ZDB FUNCTIONS

In this section, we first describe a generic constructions of ZDB functions, and present three special cases of this construction. Furthermore, we propose a vectorial construction of ZDB functions with flexible parameters.

A. The general construction

To present the construction of ZDB functions, we need the following results.

Lemma 3.1: Let e be a divisor of $q - 1$ with $\gcd(e, m) = 1$, where q is a prime power and $e = l \cdot r$. Let θ be a primitive element of $\mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$ and $\alpha = \theta^{\frac{q^m-1}{q-1}}$. Define $D := \langle \theta^r \rangle$ and $C_0 := \langle \theta^e \rangle$. Then

$$D = \biguplus_{i=0}^{l-1} C_i,$$

where $C_i = \alpha^{ir} C_0$ and $\uplus$ denotes the disjoint union. In particular, if $r = 1$, we have

$$\mathrm{GF}(q^m)^* = \biguplus_{i=0}^{e-1} \alpha^i C_0.$$

Proof: Note that α is a primitive element of $\mathrm{GF}(q)^*$. Since $|D| = l \cdot |C_0|$, it suffices to prove that $\alpha^{ir} \notin C_0$ for any $i = 1, \ldots, l - 1$. Assume to the contrary that there exists some j such that $\alpha^{jr} \in C_0$, we then have $\alpha^{jr \cdot \frac{q^m-1}{e}} = 1$, which means

$$jr \cdot \frac{q^m - 1}{e} \equiv 0 \pmod{(q-1)}.$$

It follows that

$$jr \cdot \frac{q^m - 1}{q - 1} \equiv 0 \pmod{e}.$$

Since e is a divisor of $q - 1$, we have $q \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$. Thus,

$$jr \cdot \frac{q^m - 1}{q - 1} \equiv jr \cdot m \pmod{e}.$$

We then obtain that $jr \cdot m \equiv 0 \pmod{e}$, which implies that $e | jr$ since $\gcd(e, m) = 1$. This is a contradiction to the choice of j, i.e., $0 < j \le l - 1$. Therefore, $\alpha^{ir} C_0$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, l - 1$ are pairwise disjoint. The conclusion then follows. ■

Corollary 3.2: With the same notations as in Lemma 3.1, assume that g is a d-homogeneous function on $\mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$ over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$, i.e., for any $a \in \mathrm{GF}(q)$ and $x \in \mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$, $g(ax) = a^d g(x)$. Then we have

$$|\{x \in D : g(x) = 0\}| = l \cdot |\{x \in C_i : g(x) = 0\}|,$$

for any $i = 0, 1, \ldots, l - 1$.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in C_0$ be a root of $g(x) = 0$, then we see that for any $0 \le i < l$, $\alpha^{ir} x_0 \in C_i$ is also a root of it, because

$$g(\alpha^{ir} x_0) = \alpha^{ird} g(x_0) = 0.$$

Since $D = \biguplus_{i=0}^{l-1} C_i$, where $C_i = \alpha^{ir} C_0$, all the solutions of $g(x) = 0$ in D are equally distributed into each of the l cosets C_i's. Thus, we have

$$|\{x \in D : g(x) = 0\}| = l \cdot |\{x \in C_i : g(x) = 0\}|$$

for any $i = 0, 1, \ldots, l-1$. ■

Now we are ready to present a generic construction of ZDB functions with parameters $\left(\frac{q^m-1}{r}, q, \frac{q^{m-1}-1}{r}\right)$, where r is a divisor of $q-1$.

Theorem 3.3: Let e and u be two divisors of $q-1$ with $\gcd(e,m) = \gcd(u,m) = 1$, $e = l \cdot r$ and θ be a primitive element of $\mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$, where q is a prime power. Let $D = \langle \theta^r \rangle$ and $C_0 = \langle \theta^e \rangle$. Define the function $f : D \to \mathrm{GF}(q)$ by

$$f(x) = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho(x) x^u),$$

where $\mathrm{Tr}(x)$ denotes the trace function from $\mathrm{GF}(q^m)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(q)$, and $\rho(x)$ is defined as

$$\rho(x) = d_i, \text{ if } x \in C_i,$$

with $C_i = \alpha^{ir} C_0$ and $d_i \in \mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$ for $0 \le i < l$. If the following two conditions

(i) $\{x \in D \text{ and } x \ne 1 : x^u = 1\} \cap C_0 = \phi$;

(ii) $d_j / d_{k+j} \notin C_{uk}$ for any $k \ne 0$ and $0 \le j \le e-1$,

are satisfied, the function $f(x)$ is a $\left(\frac{q^m-1}{r}, q, \frac{q^{m-1}-1}{r}\right)$-ZDB function.

Proof: By Corollary 3.2, for any $a \in \mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$, we have

$$|\{x \in \langle \theta^u \rangle : \mathrm{Tr}(ax) = 0\}| = \frac{q^{m-1}-1}{u},$$

which means

$$|\{x \in \mathrm{GF}(q^m)^* : \mathrm{Tr}(ax^u) = 0\}| = q^{m-1} - 1.$$

Apply Corollary 3.2 again, we then have

$$|\{x \in D : \mathrm{Tr}(ax^u) = 0\}| = \frac{q^{m-1}-1}{r},$$

and furthermore

$$N_{a,i} := |\{x \in C_i : \mathrm{Tr}(ax^u) = 0\}| = \frac{q^{m-1}-1}{l \cdot r}, \tag{4}$$

for any $a \in \mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$ and $0 \le i < l$.

Without loss of generality, assume that $\delta \in C_k$ for some $0 \le k \le l-1$. Define

$$N_b(\delta) = |\{x \in D : f(\delta x) - f(x) = b\}|,$$

for $b \in \mathrm{GF}(q)$. Then by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_0(\delta) &= |\{x \in D : \mathrm{Tr}((\rho(\delta x)\delta^u - \rho(x))x^u) = 0\} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} |\{x \in D : \mathrm{Tr}((d_{k+j}\delta^u - d_j)x^u) = 0\} \cap C_j|. \end{aligned}$$

On one hand, if $k = 0$, since $\{x \in D \text{ and } x \ne 1 : x^u = 1\} \cap C_0 = \phi$, we have $d_j \delta^u - d_j \ne 0$ for any $\delta \in D \setminus \{1\}$ and $0 \le j < l$. On the other hand, if $k \ne 0$, since $d_j / d_{k+j} \notin C_{uk}$ for $0 \le j < l$, we also have $d_{k+j}\delta^u - d_j \ne 0$ for any $\delta \in D \setminus \{1\}$ and $0 \le j < l$. Thus, from (4), it follows that

$$N_0(\delta) = \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} N_{d_{k+j}\delta - d_j, j} = \frac{q^{m-1}-1}{r}.$$

The proof is then completed. ■

Remark 2: a) The construction in Theorem 3.3 is generic in the sense that we can choose different $\rho(x)$, u, e and r to get many new classes of ZDB functions.

b) The two sufficient conditions in Theorem 3.3 can be satisfied. It is easily checked that the condition (i) is equivalent to that there does not exist $1 \leq j \leq s-1$, such that $e \big| \left(\mathrm{lcm}(r, \frac{q^m-1}{u}) \cdot j\right)$, where $s = (q^m-1)/\mathrm{lcm}(r, \frac{q^m-1}{u})$. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\mathrm{GF}(q^m)^* = \biguplus_{i=0}^{lr-1} \alpha^i C_0,$$

where $\alpha = \theta^{\frac{q^m-1}{q-1}}$. Let $d_i \in \alpha^{-s_i} C_0$, then condition (ii) is equivalent to

$$-s_j + s_{k+j} \not\equiv uk \pmod{l},$$

for any $k \neq 0$ and $0 \leq j \leq l-1$, which can be also written as $s_j - s_i \not\equiv u(j-i) \pmod{l}$, i.e.,

$$(s_j - ju) - (s_i - iu) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{l},$$

for any $j \neq i$ and $0 \leq i, j \leq e-1$. Hence condition (ii) can be expressed as

$$\{s_i - iu \pmod{l} : 0 \leq i \leq l-1\} = \{0, 1, \cdots, l\},$$

and there are totally $|C_0|^l \cdot l!$ different $\rho(x)$'s satisfying this condition.

To illustrate the generic construction in Theorem 3.3, we give three special cases in the sequel. Note that the first two special cases could be viewed as generalizations of the currently known constructions [1], [4].

1) Special case I: Let q be an odd prime power, m be odd, $e = 2$, and $u = r = 1$. We have the following construction of ZDB functions.

Corollary 3.4: Let q be an odd prime power and m be an odd integer. Define the function $f : \mathrm{GF}(q^m)^* \to \mathrm{GF}(q)$ as

$$f(x) := \mathrm{Tr}(\rho(x)x),$$

where $\mathrm{Tr}(x)$ denotes the trace function from $\mathrm{GF}(q^m)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(q)$, and $\rho(x)$ is defined as

$$\rho(x) := \begin{cases} d_0, & \text{if } x \text{ is a square in } \mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*, \\ d_1, & \text{if } x \text{ is a nonsquare in } \mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*, \end{cases}$$

with $d_0, d_1 \in \mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$. If $d_0 d_1$ is a square, then the function f is a $(q^m-1, q, q^{m-1}-1)$-ZDB function. Furthermore, if q^m is large enough, when $d_0 \neq \pm d_1$, we can always choose proper d_0 and d_1 such that for any square $\delta \in \mathrm{GF}(q^m) \setminus \{0,1\}$, $N_b(\delta) = q^{m-1}$, and for some nonsquare $\delta \in \mathrm{GF}(q^m) \setminus \{0,1\}$, $N_b(\delta) \neq q^{m-1}$ for any $b \in \mathrm{GF}(q)^*$ where

$$N_b(\delta) =: |\{x \in \mathrm{GF}(q^m)^* : f(\delta x) - f(x) = b\}|,$$

i.e., the function $f(x)$ is not difference balanced.

The first argument of Corollary 3.4 directly follows from Theorem 3.3. To prove the second one, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.5: [17] [18, Exercise 6.72] Let $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathrm{GF}(q)^*$ with $a_1 b_2 \neq a_2 b_1$ where q is a prime power and let $n, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. The number N of common solutions $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathrm{GF}(q)^3$ of the equations

$$\begin{cases} x_1^{n_1} = a_1 + b_1 x_3^n \\ x_2^{n_2} = a_2 + b_2 x_3^n \end{cases}$$

satisfies $|N - q| \leq C q^{1/2}$ for some constant C independent of q.

Lemma 3.6: [18, Theorem 6.27] Let f be a non-degenerate quadratic form over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$, q odd, in an odd number m of indeterminates. Then for $b \in \mathrm{GF}(q)$, the number of solutions of the equation $f(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = b$ in $\mathrm{GF}(q)^m$ is

$$q^{m-1} + q^{(m-1)/2}\eta\left((-1)^{(m-1)/2}b\Delta\right),$$

where η is the quadratic character of $\mathrm{GF}(q)$, $\Delta = \det(A)$ and A is the coefficient matrix of f.

Lemma 3.7: Let q be an odd prime power and m be an odd integer. For any $\delta \in \mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$, the equation $\mathrm{Tr}(\delta x^2) = 0$ has exactly q^{m-1} solutions in $\mathrm{GF}(q^m)$, and the equation $\mathrm{Tr}(\delta x^2) = b$, with $b \neq 0$, has exactly $q^{m-1} \pm q^{(m-1)/2}$ solutions depending on the quadratic characters of δ and b, where $\mathrm{Tr}(x)$ denotes the trace function from $\mathrm{GF}(q^m)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(q)$. Furthermore, if the equation $\mathrm{Tr}(\delta x^2) = b$, for some $\delta \in \mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$ and $b \in \mathrm{GF}(q)$, has exactly $q^{m-1} + q^{(m-1)/2}$ solutions, then the equation $\mathrm{Tr}(a\delta x^2) = b$ has exactly $q^{m-1} - q^{(m-1)/2}$ solutions, where $a \in \mathrm{GF}(q)^*$ is a nonsquare, and vice versa.

Proof: Note that the bilinear form

$$B(x, y) = \mathrm{Tr}(\delta(x+y)^2) - \mathrm{Tr}(\delta x^2) - \mathrm{Tr}(\delta y^2) = \mathrm{Tr}(2\delta xy)$$

is non-degenerate. Therefore, $f(x) = \mathrm{Tr}(\delta x^2)$ could be viewed as a non-degenerate quadratic form in m indeterminates over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$. Since a is a nonsquare in $\mathrm{GF}(q)^*$, we have $\mathrm{Tr}(a\delta x^2) = b$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{Tr}(\delta x^2) = ba^{-1}$. Note that both q and m are odd. Then from Lemma 3.6, the conclusion follows. ■

Now we are ready to present the proof of the second part of Corollary 3.4.

Proof of Corollary 3.4: By Theorem 3.3, $N_0(\delta) = q^{m-1} - 1$ if $d_0 d_1$ is square. We now discuss the possible values of $N_b(\delta)$ for $b \in \mathrm{GF}(q)^*$.

If δ is a square, we have $\rho(\delta x) = \rho(x)$. Since $d_0 d_1$ is a square, there are two cases. On one hand, if both d_0 and d_1 are squares in $\mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$, without loss of generality, suppose that $d_0 = u^2$ and $d_1 = v^2$ with $u, v \in \mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$, we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(\delta x) - f(x) \\
&= \mathrm{Tr}((\delta - 1)\rho(x)x) \\
&= \begin{cases} \mathrm{Tr}((\delta-1)d_0 y^2), & \text{if } x = y^2, \\ a\mathrm{Tr}((\delta-1)d_1 y^2), & \text{if } x = ay^2, \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \mathrm{Tr}((\delta-1)u^2 y^2), & \text{if } x = y^2, \\ a\mathrm{Tr}((\delta-1)v^2 y^2), & \text{if } x = ay^2, \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \mathrm{Tr}((\delta-1)(uy)^2), & \text{if } x = y^2, \\ a\mathrm{Tr}((\delta-1)(vy)^2), & \text{if } x = ay^2, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

where $a \in \mathrm{GF}(q)^*$ is a nonsquare. It then follows from Lemma 3.7 that

$$N_b(\delta) = \frac{q^{m-1} + q^{(m-1)/2}}{2} + \frac{q^{(m-1)} - q^{m-1/2}}{2} = q^{m-1}.$$

On the other hand, if d_0 and d_1 are both nonsquares, the argument is similar and we also obtain

$$N_b(\delta) = q^{m-1}.$$

If δ is a nonsquare, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(\delta x) - f(x) \\
&= \mathrm{Tr}(\delta x \rho(\delta x) - x\rho(x)) \\
&= \begin{cases} \mathrm{Tr}((\delta d_1 - d_0)y^2), & \text{if } x = y^2, \\ a\mathrm{Tr}((\delta d_0 - d_1)y^2), & \text{if } x = ay^2, \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $a \in \mathrm{GF}(q)^*$ is a nonsquare. By Lemma 3.7 and (5), we have $N_b(\delta) = q^{m-1}$ if and only if

$$\chi(\delta d_1 - d_0) = \chi(\delta d_0 - d_1),$$

where χ is the quadratic character of $\mathrm{GF}(q^m)$. This means that both of the following two systems of equations

$$\begin{cases} az^2d_1 - d_0 = x^2 \\ az^2d_0 - d_1 = ay^2 \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} az^2d_1 - d_0 = ax^2 \\ az^2d_0 - d_1 = y^2 \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

have no solution, where a is a nonsquare in $\mathrm{GF}(q)^*$. The system of equations (6) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} x^2 = -d_0 + ad_1z^2 \\ y^2 = -d_1/a + d_0z^2. \end{cases}$$

Then by Lemma 3.5, the number N_1 of solutions of (6) satisfies

$$|N_1 - q^m| \leq Cq^{m/2},$$

for some constant C independent of q when $d_0 \neq \pm d_1$. Thus, for a large enough q^m, we can always choose proper d_0 and d_1 such that $N_1 \neq 0$. Then we have $N_b(\delta) \neq q^{m-1}$, which completes the proof. ■

Remark 3: a) The construction of ZDB functions in Corollary 3.4 could be viewed as a generalization of the trace function (if $d_0 = d_1$, also see [4]). This construction is new since for large q^m, we can always choose proper d_0 and d_1 such that the ZDB functions are not difference balanced, which is different from the trace function.

b) Since any ZDB function $f(x)$ constructed in Corollary 3.4 has the parameters $(q^m - 1, q, q^{m-1} - 1)$, by Theorem 2.4, $f(x)$ is balanced or an affine shift of a balanced function. This determines the sizes of preimage sets of the ZDB function $f(x)$.

Example 1: Let $q = 3$, $m = 3$. Define $d_0 := 1$, $d_1 := \theta^2$ where θ is a primitive element of $\mathrm{GF}(3^3)^*$. Then for the function $f : \mathrm{GF}(q^m)^* \to \mathrm{GF}(q)$, defined as in Corollary 3.4, $N_0(\delta) = 9$ for any $\delta \in \mathrm{GF}(3^3)^* \setminus \{1\}$, and the distribution of $N_b(\delta)$ for all $b \neq 0$ is:

$N_b(\delta)$	6	9	12
multiplicity	4	17	4

2) Special case II: Let q be a prime power and $u = 1$. We have the second special case of Theorem 3.3 as follows.

Corollary 3.8: Let q be a prime power, e be a divisor of $q - 1$ with $\gcd(e, m) = 1$, $e = l \cdot r$ and θ be a primitive element of $\mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$, where q is a prime power. Let $D = \langle \theta^r \rangle$ and $C_0 = \langle \theta^e \rangle$. Define the function $f : D \to \mathrm{GF}(q)$ by

$$f(x) := \mathrm{Tr}(\rho(x)x),$$

where $\mathrm{Tr}(x)$ denotes the trace function from $\mathrm{GF}(q^m)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(q)$, and $\rho(x)$ is defined as

$$\rho(x) := d_i, \text{ if } x \in C_i,$$

where $C_i = \alpha^{ir}C_0$ and $d_i \in \mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$ for $0 \leq i \leq l-1$. If $d_j/d_{k+j} \notin C_k$ for any $k \neq 0$ and $0 \leq j \leq l-1$, then the function $f(x)$ is a $\left(\frac{q^m-1}{r}, q, \frac{q^{m-1}-1}{r}\right)$-ZDB function.

Proof: The conclusion follows from Theorem 3.3. ■

Remark 4: The construction of ZDB functions given in Corollary 3.8 could be viewed as a generalization of the construction in [1, Theorem 9] (if $d_0 = d_1 = \cdots = d_{l-1}$, see also [2, Proposition 7]).

The following example indicates that our construction in Corollary 3.8 is different from the construction in [1, Theorem 9].

Example 2: Let $q = 3^2$, $m = 3$, $l = r = 2$, $e = 4$, and θ be a primitive element of $\mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$. Define $\rho(x)$ as $d_0 = \theta^4$ and $d_1 = \theta^8$. Then for the function $f : D = \langle \theta^2 \rangle \to \mathrm{GF}(q)$, defined in Corollary 3.8, $N_0(\delta) = 40$, and for $b \neq 0$, $N_b(\delta)$ has exactly three possible values: 36, 45, and 54; in comparison, for the function $f : D \to \mathrm{GF}(q)$ defined in [1, Theorem 9], $N_0(\delta) = 40$, and for $b \neq 0$, $N_b(\delta)$ has only two possible values: 36 and 45.

3) Special case III: Let q be an odd prime power, m be an odd integer, and $u = 2$. Another special case of Theorem 3.3 is the following.

Corollary 3.9: Let e be a divisor of $q-1$ with $\gcd(e, m) = 1$, $e = l \cdot r$ and θ be a primitive element of $\mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$, where q is an odd prime power and m is odd. Let $D = \langle \theta^r \rangle$ and $C_0 = \langle \theta^e \rangle$. Define the function $f : D \to \mathrm{GF}(q)$ by

$$f(x) := \mathrm{Tr}(\rho(x)x^2),$$

where $\mathrm{Tr}(x)$ denotes the trace function from $\mathrm{GF}(q^m)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(q)$, and $\rho(x)$ is defined as

$$\rho(x) := d_i, \text{ if } x \in C_i,$$

where $C_i = \alpha^{ir}C_0$ and $d_i \in \mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$. If $-1 \notin C_0$ and $d_j/d_{k+j} \notin C_{2k}$ for any $k \neq 0$ and $0 \leq j \leq l-1$, then the function $f(x)$ is a $\left(\frac{q^m-1}{r}, q, \frac{q^{m-1}-1}{r}\right)$-ZDB function.

Proof: The conclusion follows from Theorem 3.3. ■

Example 3: Let $q = 7$, $m = 3$ and $r = 1$. Define $d_0 := 1$, $d_1 := 3$. Then for the function $f : \mathrm{GF}(q^m)^* \to \mathrm{GF}(q)$, defined as in Corollary 3.9, $N_0(\delta) = 48$ for any $\delta \in \mathrm{GF}(7^3)^* \setminus \{1\}$, and the distribution of $N_b(\delta)$ for all $b \neq 0$ is:

$N_b(\delta)$	42	49	56
multiplicity	43	255	43

B. A vectorial construction of ZDB functions

In [4], difference balanced functions were used to construct ZDB functions with flexible parameters. It turns out that the functions given in Theorem 3.3 could also be employed to construct ZDB functions with parameters $\left(\frac{q^m-1}{r}, q^v, \frac{q^{m-v}-1}{r}\right)$.

Theorem 3.10: With the same notations as in Theorem 3.3, suppose that $f(x) = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho(x)x^u)$ is a $\left(\frac{q^m-1}{r}, q, \frac{q^{m-1}-1}{r}\right)$-ZDB function defined in Theorem 3.3. Let $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{v-1}$ be v elements in $\mathrm{GF}(q^m)^*$, which are linearly independent over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$. Define the function $f_v : D \to \mathrm{GF}(q)^v$ as

$$f_v(x) = \left(\mathrm{Tr}(a_0\rho(x)x^u), \mathrm{Tr}(a_1\rho(x)x^u), \ldots, \mathrm{Tr}(a_{v-1}\rho(x)x^u)\right),$$

then the function $f_v(x)$ is a ZDB function with parameters $\left(\frac{q^m-1}{r}, q^v, \frac{q^{m-v}-1}{r}\right)$.

Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3, using the result on the number of solutions of linear systems, one can easily give a proof for Theorem 3.10.

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, we presented a generic construction of zero-difference balanced functions, and many new classes of zero-difference balanced functions could be generated. All these ZDB functions could be used to construct optimal constant composition codes, optimal and perfect difference systems of sets, and optimal frequency hopping sequences. In addition, using these new ZDB functions, we gave a vectorial construction of ZDB functions with flexible parameters. We also derived a lower bound on the parameters of zero-difference balanced functions, and this bound could be used to determine the balance property of ZDB functions when the bound is met without the ceiling function.

For the ZDB functions constructed in Theorem 3.3, it seems hard to determine the sizes of the preimage sets explicitly. However, it may be possible to compute them in some special cases (for example, see [1]). To conclude the paper, we would like to propose the following future work.

- In Theorem 3.10, we used the same $\rho(x)$ to get a new ZDB function. So, is it possible to use different $\rho(x)$'s?

- Can we construct a family $\mathcal{F}$ of ZDB functions as in Theorem 3.3 such that each function $f_i \in \mathcal{F}$ satisfies
$$|\{x \in A : f_i(x+a) - f_i(x) = 0\}| = \lambda,$$
for every nonzero $a \in A$, and any two different functions $f_i(x), f_j(x) \in \mathcal{F}$ satisfy
$$|\{x \in A : f_i(x+a) - f_j(x) = 0\}| = \lambda,$$
for every $a \in A$? Such a family could be used to construct sets of frequency hopping sequences, and also optimal constant weight codes (for example, see [4]).

REFERENCES

[1] C. Ding, “Optimal and perfect difference systems of sets,” *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, vol. 116, no. 1, pp. 109–119, 2009.

[2] C. Ding, “Optimal constant composition codes from zero-difference balanced functions,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 54, no. 12, pp. 5766–5770, 2008.

[3] C. Ding and J. Yin, “Combinatorial constructions of optimal constant-composition codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 51, no. 10, pp. 3671–3674, 2005.

[4] Z. Zhou, X. Tang, D. Wu, and Y. Yang, “Some new classes of zero-difference balanced functions,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 139–145, 2012.

[5] V. I. Levenšteĭn, “A certain method of constructing quasilinear codes that guarantee synchronization in the presence of errors,” *Problemy Peredači Informacii*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 30–40, 1971.

[6] V. I. Levenšteĭn, “Combinatorial problems motivated by comma-free codes,” *J. Combin. Des.*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 184–196, 2004.

[7] H. Wang, “A new bound for difference systems of sets,” *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, vol. 58, pp. 161–167, 2006.

[8] Y. Luo, F.-W. Fu, A. J. H. Vinck, and W. Chen, “On constant-composition codes over Z_q,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 49, no. 11, pp. 3010–3016, 2003.

[9] R. Fuji-Hara, Y. Miao, and M. Mishima, “Optimal frequency hopping sequences: a combinatorial approach,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 50, no. 10, pp. 2408–2420, 2004.

[10] G. Ge, R. Fuji-Hara, and Y. Miao, “Further combinatorial constructions for optimal frequency-hopping sequences,” *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, vol. 113, no. 8, pp. 1699–1718, 2006.

[11] G. Ge, Y. Miao, and Z. Yao, “Optimal frequency hopping sequences: auto- and cross-correlation properties,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 867–879, 2009.

[12] M. K. Simon, J. K. Omura, R. A. Scholtz, and B. K. Levitt, *Spread Spectrum Communications Handbook (revised ed)*. New York: McGraw-Hill Inc., 2002.

[13] C. Ding and Y. Tan, “Zero-difference balanced functions with applications,” *Journal of Statistical Theory and Practice*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 3–19, 2012.

[14] A. Lempel and H. Greenberger, “Families of sequences with optimal Hamming correlation properties,” *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol. IT-20, pp. 90–94, 1974.

[15] J.-S. No, “New cyclic difference sets with Singer parameters constructed from d-homogeneous functions,” *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 199–213, 2004.

[16] G. Gong and H.-Y. Song, “Two-tuple balance of non-binary sequences with ideal two-level autocorrelation,” *Discrete Appl. Math.*, vol. 154, no. 18, pp. 2590–2598, 2006.

[17] L. Carlitz and C. Wells, “The number of solutions of a special system of equations in a finite field,” *Acta Arith*, vol. 12, pp. 77–84, 1966/1967.

[18] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite fields*, vol. 20 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, second ed., 1997.